

Comunicato Stampa

## FITCH ASSEGNA "POSITIVE OUTLOOK" AD AUTOSTRADE PER L'ITALIA

Roma, 10 maggio 2022 - L'agenzia di rating Fitch, a valle del completamento dell'acquisizione dell'88,06% del capitale sociale della Società da parte di Holding Reti Autostradali, in data 9 maggio 2022 ha risolto il Rating Watch Positive riconfermando il merito di credito 'BB+' di Autostrade per l'Italia e assegnando ai rating outlook positivo.

In allegato la nota completa dell'agenzia di rating.

### **Fitch**Ratings

#### RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

# Fitch Affirms ASPI at 'BB+' on Change of Control; Positive Outlook

Mon 09 May, 2022 - 11:31 ET

Fitch Ratings - Milan - 09 May 2022: Fitch Ratings has affirmed Autostrade per l'Italia SpA's (ASPI) Long-Term Issuer Default Ratings (IDR) and senior unsecured notes at 'BB+' and removed the ratings from Rating Watch Positive (RWP). The Outlook is Positive. A full list of rating actions is below.

#### **RATING RATIONALE**

The rating actions follow the sale of 88.06% of ASPI previously held by Atlantia S.p.A. (BB/Negative) to a consortium of investors, grouped into a newly-established vehicle (Holding Reti Autostradali; HRA), and consequent change of control. This event has ultimately removed the risk of revocation of the ASPI concession agreement, following disputes with the grantor over allegations of serious breaches of the concession. The revocation would have likely resulted in a liquidity event for ASPI.

At closing, HRA was fully equity funded, with no impact on ASPI's capital structure. Fitch views positively ASPI's shareholders' undertaking to procure and maintain a capital structure compatible with investment grade metrics, as enshrined in the shareholders agreement. Nevertheless, we still lack details as to the desired evolution of the capital structure at both ASPI and HRA, which ultimately constrains ASPI's current rating.

The 'BB+' Long-Term IDR reflects ASPI's large, mature and strategically located network in Italy as well as its regulatory asset base (RAB)-based price-cap tariff, which

provides visibility of future tariff increases. ASPI's debt structure is substantially uncovenanted and unsecured where refinancing risk of its non-amortising debt is mitigated by a well-diversified range of bullet maturities, demonstrated solid access to bond markets, and proactive debt management policy.

The Positive Outlook reflects upward rating pressures, the magnitude of which will depend on shareholders' decisions upon the company's business plan, capital structure and financial policy. Fitch understands these have yet to be formally approved by the new board of directors.

The 'B' Short-Term IDR reflects the 'BB+' Long-Term IDR.

#### **KEY RATING DRIVERS**

On 5-May, a Cassa Depositi e Prestiti (CDP BBB/Stable) led consortium, including Macquarie managed funds and Blackstone Infrastructure Partners (Consortium), grouped into HRA, which has taken control of ASPI. The acquisition is the final step of an almost four-year long dispute with the Italian government, and more importantly, marks the depart of ASPI from the Atlantia group, which used to guarantee a portion of ASPI's debt.

Despite the amicable solution reached with the government, ASPI will bear scars from the dispute, namely the EUR3.4 billion settlement agreement, of which EUR1.4 billion had already been spent at end-2021. Additionally, ASPI remains subject to liabilities, albeit minor, stemming from the recently-settled criminal trial and ongoing civil actions in relation to the Polcevera viaduct. However, Fitch continues to view ASPI's cash flow generation as of high quality and potentially commensurate with a solid 'BBB' category rating.

CDP holds a 51% majority stake, but ASPI's governance is well-balanced and ensures joint control. ASPI's board is composed of 14 directors where no shareholder has the absolute majority. Appointment of key positions at ASPI are equally split and shared, reserved matters are wide in scope and require broad consensus and CDP will account ASPI in its books under the equity method.

CDP's investment in ASPI via its private equity division fits perfectly into its mission of investing in Italy's strategic infrastructure, such as the already deployed investments into the gas, electricity and fibre networks. CDP's investment in ASPI is entirely based on economic and financial considerations, consistent with its investment policy enshrined in its by-laws.

As a result, we rate ASPI on a standalone basis and its rating does not factor in any potential support from its shareholder base.

#### Large Network, Moderate Volatility - Revenue Risk (Volume): Midrange

ASPI is the largest Italian toll road operator, managing a network of around 3,000 km in Italy. The network is critical for the mobility of the whole country and is exposed to limited competition. User profile is mainly made up of stable commuter and medium-to long-distance traffic.

Volumes have shown moderate volatility with a 11% peak-to-trough change in 2007-2013, mainly due to a collapse of domestic consumption in response to austerity measures in 2012. Recovery thereafter remained subdued and below the 2007 peak, reflecting a lacklustre economic environment in Italy in the period to 2019. Last year, ongoing relaxation of restrictive measures supported a material traffic pick up, which has recovered the majority of the 2020 pandemic-induced losses.

#### RAB-Based Price Cap - Revenue Risk (Price): Midrange

The new concession addendum and five-year economic and financial plan are fully in force following registration by the Court of Auditors in March 2022. As part of the settlement agreement, the tariff framework has been replaced by a new model, which we view as still supportive.

The new tariff formula, set on the basis of the Italian transport authority (ART) methodology, is premised on a RAB-based price cap over five-year regulatory periods and benefiting from a safeguard mechanism aimed at keeping remuneration on already agreed-upon investments unchanged versus the previous concession agreement. The concessionaire bears traffic risk during each of the five-year plans, and a revenue-sharing mechanism is in place to limit upside from the second regulatory period. Crucially, the framework provides long-term visibility on tariff increase, currently set at 1.61% per year until the end of the concession.

We note that under the new framework, ASPI is fully exposed to temporary spikes in inflation as the new tariff formula captures the budgeted inflation assumed under the five-year regulatory plan. However, Fitch believes this is mitigated by the RAB-based nature of the tariff mechanism and remains more focused on the weighted average cost of capital threshold at every tariff re-set.

# Large Scale Debt-funded Programme - Infrastructure Development and Renewal: Midrange

ASPI's capex plan is large scale with limited flexibility and is remunerated at an adequate rate of return under the economic and financial plan. Similarly, the maintenance plan is high and with limited to no flexibility.

Short-and-long-term maintenance needs, timing and capital planning are well defined and we expect dialogue with the grantor to be constructive. ASPI has high levels of excess cash flow but access to external funding is key to delivering on its ambitious plan.

#### **Unsecured with Limited Protection - Debt Structure: Midrange**

ASPI's debt is typical of a corporate with unsecured and predominantly non-amortising debt, at fixed-rates, and lacking material structural protection. The majority of debt is made up of capital market instruments, as less than 20% of gross debt is with CDP and European Investment Bank (AAA/Stable), which have provided funding to ASPI in the past on favourable terms. Refinancing risk is mitigated by a well-diversified range of bullet maturities, a proactive and prudent debt management policy and access to banks and capital markets.

Following the effectiveness of the bondholders' extraordinary resolution in November 2021 and sale of ASPI to the consortium, all of ASPI's debt is now non-recourse and unguaranteed.

ASPI's liquidity position is somewhat limited. Cash and committed lines cover debt maturities until end-2022 under Fitch's rating case (FRC), which assumes a EUR3.2 billion capex plan as well as a 100% dividend pay-out in 2022 and 2023.

#### **Financial Summary**

ASPI's Fitch-adjusted leverage at December 2021 is 4.5x, but under the FRC net debt-to-EBITDA is estimated to increase to a range of 5.0x-5.5x in 2022-2024, mostly in relation to a ramp up in capex.

#### **PEER GROUP**

Compared with other large toll road network peers in EMEA, ASPI has slightly higher leverage than Brisa Concessão Rodoviária (A-/Stable) and a similar business risk profile, but with longer concession maturity. Brisa Concessão Rodoviária's (A-/Stable) rating reflects a creditor-protective debt structure providing financial flexibility to maintain net debt/EBITDA within 4.5x.

Compared with Abertis Infraestructuras SA (BBB/Negative), ASPI performed better than the Spanish network managed by Abertis. However, thanks to its more

geographically diversified portfolio of assets, Abertis showed overall higher resilience during the economic downturns (6%) than ASPI (at around 10%), which justifies its 'Stronger' Volume risk assessment. The concession tenor remaining for Abertis (12 years) is lower than the one of ASPI (17 years).

#### **RATING SENSITIVITIES**

## Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

Downward pressures could materialise in case of material and adverse developments from the ongoing civil actions leading to additional uncertainties on future indemnities/issuer liabilities. The rating could also be pressured in case of material and adverse political intervention.

We could revise the Outlook to Stable if management's policy on capital structure is consistent with the current rating.

## Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

An upgrade would be contingent upon increased visibility of the company's business plan, capital structure and financial policy of ASPI and HRA.

#### **BEST/WORST CASE RATING SCENARIO**

International scale credit ratings of Sovereigns, Public Finance and Infrastructure issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of three notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579.

#### **FINANCIAL ANALYSIS**

The FRC assumes traffic to recover the 2019 level only next year, while tariff will increase at 1.61% yoy from 2023; it also includes EUR0.1 billion of liabilities potentially to be paid in relation to the Polcevera viaduct. The capex plan is aligned with that agreed in the economic and financial plan and our case assumes a 100% dividend policy.

## REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

#### **ESG CONSIDERATIONS**

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg

#### **RATING ACTIONS**

ENTITY / DEBT \$	RATING <b>≑</b>	PRIOR \$
Autostrade per l'Italia SpA	LT IDR BB+ Rating Outlook Positive Affirmed	BB+ Rating Watch Positive
	ST IDR B Affirmed	B Rating Watch Positive
Autostrade per l'Italia SpA/Debt/1 LT	LT BB+ Rating Outlook Positive Affirmed	BB+ Rating Watch Positive

#### **VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS**

#### **FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS**

#### Paolo Alessi

**Senior Director** 

**Primary Rating Analyst** 

+39 02 879087 299

paolo.alessi@fitchratings.com

Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited Sede Secondaria Italiana

Via Morigi, 6 Ingresso Via Privata Maria Teresa, 8 Milan 20123

#### Francisco Rojo

Associate Director
Secondary Rating Analyst
+34 91 076 1983

francisco.rojo@fitchratings.com

#### **Danilo Quattromani**

Managing Director

Committee Chairperson
+39 02 879087 275
danilo.quattromani@fitchratings.com

#### **MEDIA CONTACTS**

#### **Athos Larkou**

London +44 20 3530 1549 athos.larkou@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

#### **PARTICIPATION STATUS**

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

#### **APPLICABLE CRITERIA**

Toll Roads, Bridges and Tunnels Rating Criteria (pub. 26 Jun 2020) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Infrastructure and Project Finance Rating Criteria (pub. 23 Aug 2021) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

#### **APPLICABLE MODELS**

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

GIG InForM Model, v1.1.0 (1)

#### **ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES**

**Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form** 

**Solicitation Status** 

**Endorsement Policy** 

#### **ENDORSEMENT STATUS**

Autostrade per l'Italia SpA

EU Issued, UK Endorsed

#### **DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES**

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link:

https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings. In addition, the following https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <a href="https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory">https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory</a>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information). Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the thirdparty verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom,

or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <a href="https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory">https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory</a>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Copyright © 2022 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

#### **READ LESS**

#### **SOLICITATION STATUS**

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

#### **ENDORSEMENT POLICY**

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's Regulatory Affairs page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

Infrastructure and Project Finance Europe Italy